Study of the Preparation and Properties of UV-Blocking Fabrics of a PET/TiO₂ Nanocomposite Prepared by In Situ Polycondensation

Keqing Han, Muhuo Yu

State Key Laboratory of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, College of Material Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai 200051, People's Republic of China

Received 3 December 2004; accepted 12 July 2005 DOI 10.1002/app.23312 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: Poly(ethylene phthalate) (PET)/nano-TiO₂ composites prepared via *in situ* polymerization were spun into fiber by the melt-spinning process. The dispersion of nanosized rutile TiO₂ in the PET was studied using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning probe microscopy (SPM) techniques. The mechanical properties and the properties of ultraviolet (UV) protection were investigated. The results showed that rutile TiO₂ can be dispersed uniformly by the *in situ* polycondensation process. The mechanical properties of PET/TiO₂ fiber were slightly affected

by adding nano-TiO₂. The UV-ray transmittance of PET/ nano-TiO₂ fabrics was below 10% in the UV-A band and below 1% in the UV-B band. And the ultraviolet protection factor (UPF) of PET/nano-TiO₂ fabrics was greater than 50. All these PET/TiO₂ nanocomposite fabrics exhibited excellent UV-blocking properties. © 2006 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 100: 1588–1593, 2006

Key words: nanocomposites; polyester; fibers; ultraviolet

INTRODUCTION

Ultraviolet (UV) radiation—UV-A, UV-B, and UV-C falls into the regions 315–400, 280–315, and 200–280 nm, respectively. Small doses of UV solar radiation are beneficial to humans, but large doses of UV radiation have detrimental effects, such as sunburn, premature skin aging, even skin cancer and cataracts. Therefore, the skin needs to be protected from excessive UV radiation, primarily UV-B radiation, because it has the highest skin damage potential.^{1–3}

To indicate protection from UV radiation, the term *sun protection factor* (SPF) is widely used, especially for cosmetic skin protection products. There are two methods used to determine SPFs: *in vivo* and *in vitro*.² Another term, *ultraviolet protection factor* (UPF), which is defined in Australian/New Zealand Standard AS/ NZS 4399:1966,⁴ has been widely adopted now. UPF is primarily used to evaluate the UV protection capacity of textile products. It is based on an *in vitro* test method.

The UV protection effect of a fabric depends on many factors such as type of substrate, fabric construction, and fabric color. To achieve and improve good skin protection, a UV absorber can be applied during polymerization, fiber manufacture, or the final finish process. For example, nanotitania (TiO_2) is a kind of UV absorber.

With the development of nanosized materials in recent years, the preparation and application of nanotitania has been paid more attention because it is a material of many excellent properties. As is known, titania exists in three forms: anatase, rutile, and brookite.⁵ Of these three, brookite is stable only at very low temperature and hence is not useful in a practical sense.⁶ Anatase has high photochemical activity and can degrade polymers under the action of UV radiation. Rutile shows many interesting characteristics, such as absorption of UV light up to the proximity of visible light wavelengths, transparency at visible light wavelengths, and a very high refractive index. Therefore, nanocomposites containing rutile may be of interest for the fabrication of visually transparent UV filters as well as for coatings for UV-sensitive materials and lenses.6-8

In the preparation of nanocomposites, the key issue is the dispersion of nanoparticles or eliminating the aggregation of nanoparticles. Many efforts have been made to solve this problem. The available methods include the sol–gel blending technique,⁹ the meltblending process,¹⁰ the *in situ* polymerization process,^{11–13} and the *in situ* forming nanoparticles process.¹⁴ Among these methods, the *in situ*–forming nanoparticle process is the most reasonable and effective because it can produce single particle dispersion composites. However, the *in situ*–forming nanopar-

Correspondence to: M. Yu (yumuhuo@dhu.edu.cn).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 100, 1588–1593 (2006) © 2006 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

 TABLE I

 Spinning Technical Parameters of PET/TiO₂ Nanocomposites

	Sci	crew		Spinning	Spinning	
Section No.	1	2	3	4	pump	beam
Temperature (°C)	280	295	300	300	300	305

ticle process can only be used for those systems in which no transformation of the crystal morphology of nanoparticles is needed at high temperature because the matrix polymer usually cannot endure high temperature.

Thus, to improve the dispersion of rutile titania nanoparticles in the PET matrix, we developed an *in situ* polycondensation process to produce the PET/ rutile titania nanocomposites. In this process the nanotitania was first treated with a coupling agent to introduce some organic functional groups onto the surface of the titania particles; then the nanoparticles were dispersed in ethylene glycol (EG); and, finally, EG reacted with terephthalic acid (PTA), thus going to polycondensation in the presence of titania nanoparticles to form PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites.

In this study, PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared by *in situ* polymerization were spun into fiber. This polymerization method of PET nanocomposites could not only improve the spinnability of PET, but also improve the UV blocking of PET fibers because of the better dispersion of nano-TiO₂. At the same time, it could decrease the pressure of spinning pack and prolong its replacing period. The UV protection property of PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber was characterized by measuring the ultraviolet transmittance of the fabrics. The mechanical properties of PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber also were studied.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

PET/nano-TiO₂ composites containing different contents of rutile titania prepared by *in situ* polymerization were supplied by Shanghai Jiaotong University; rutile titania was treated with a silane coupling agent.

Transmission electron microscopy and scanning probe microscopy

The specimens were sectioned into roughly 100-nm layers. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) photographs were obtained with an H-800 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo) operated at an accelerated voltage of 100 KV.

The specimens were pressed into films. Then a Nanoscope IV scanning probe microscope was employed to observe the dispersion of nano-TiO₂ in the

PET matrix. The scanning scope of the probe was 5×5 μ m in the *X* and *Y* directions and 2.5μ m in the *Z* direction.

Polarizing microscope observation

The crystalline forms of the PET and PET/nano-TiO₂ samples were observed using a Japanese Olympus BX51 polarizing microscope. The samples were heated to 290°C at a heating rate of 130° C/min, kept for 3 min at 290°C to make them fully melt, and then cooled to 200°C at a cooling rate of 100° C/min.

Spinning of PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites

The PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites were spun into fiber with 36 monofilaments on an ABE-25 spinning machine at the take-up speed of 800 m/min; the temperatures in the screw region are listed in Table I. Then the drawing of fiber was carried out on a Barmag3013 drawing device at a temperature of 160°C with a draw ratio of 4.0.

Preparation of UV-blocking PET fabrics

PET fibers were woven into plain fabric on a hydraulic loom for measuring the UV radiation protection property of the fabric.

Characterization

Tensile test

The mechanical properties of $PET/nano-TiO_2$ fibers were measured using an AGS materials testing machine with a gauge length of 250 mm.

Fiber orientation measurements

Orientation factor of fiber was obtained using a model SCY-IV sound velocity measurement apparatus.

Crystallinity measurements

Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) was carried out using a D/max-B X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Co., Japan) in the reflection mode at 40 KV and 40 mA with



(a) 1 wt.-%



(b) 2 wt.-%



a Cu target and Ni filter. The 2θ angle range was between 5° and 40° .

UV-blocking measurements

The UV ray transmittance of the PET fabrics was measured on a UV1000F ultraviolet spectrophotometer for characterizing the property of UV radiation protection of the fabrics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the TEM images of the PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites with different TiO₂ contents. As these images show, the TiO₂ particles were well dispersed in the polymer matrix. Although some TiO₂ particles aggregated in the matrix, the average particle diameter typically was below 100 nm.

Figure 2, which shows SPM photographs of PET/ TiO₂ nanocomposites with different TiO₂ contents, further confirmed the dispersion of rutile in the composites.

In the polycondensation process, the nanotitania was first treated by a coupling agent to introduce some organic functional groups onto the surface of titania. Then the nanoparticles were dispersed in EG, a monomer of PET. Finally, EG reacted with PTA, going to polycondensation in the presence of titania nanoparticles to form PET/nanoparticle composites.

2.50 µm

5.00 µm



Figure 2 SPM images of PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites with different TiO₂ contents.

filetiuniteur froperites of FER, frog								
Content of nano-TiO ₂ (wt. %)	Tensile strength (MPa)	Elongation at break (%)	Modulus (GPa)	Orientation factor fs				
0	514.74	34.47	12.64	0.88				
1	483.00	27.10	12.76	0.91				
2	459.54	30.53	12.45	0.88				

TABLE IIMechanical Properties of PET/TiO2 Fiber

In the spinning process the nanoparticles of the composites were first dispersed by shearing stress in the extruder and then were dispersed again by passing the spinning pack, which was filled by mesh- and sand filters. Therefore, nanoparticle aggregation was decreased to much less a degree, and its spinnability was better than that of composites prepared by melt blending. As compared with pure PET, the spinning parameters of PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites were similar. For example, the pressure of the spinning pack was kept at 42.5 kgf/cm², almost to the same as that of pure PET. During the spinning process, fiber breakage occurred very rarely.

The mechanical properties of PET/nano-TiO₂ fibers with different TiO₂ contents are listed in Table II. It can be seen that the tensile strength of the fiber with nano-TiO₂ decreased slightly. For example, the tensile strength of PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber with 1 wt % nano-TiO₂ decreased by about 6% compared with that of pure PET fiber. At the same time, the elongation at break of fiber decreased with the addition of TiO₂. It was assumed that the addition of nano-TiO₂ resulted in decreased interaction between the PET macromolecules. And the initial modulus and orientation factor of PET/TiO₂ fiber were kept almost the same as those of pure PET fiber.

Figure 3 shows the WAXD curves of fiber with



Figure 3 WAXD patterns of fibers with different nano-TiO₂ contents: (a) pure PET, (b) 1 wt % nano-TiO₂, (c) 2 wt % nano-TiO₂.

different nano-TiO₂ contents. The crystallinity of fiber was calculated and is listed in Table III. It can be seen that the PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber had slightly lower crystallinity in comparison with pure PET fiber, which was coincident with the characteristics of the materials before spinning, as shown in the polarizing microscope images (see Fig. 4). A distinct, large spherulite in pure PET formed during the crystallization process, whereas only small-sized crystals appeared in the PET/TiO_2 nanocomposites. It is suggested that the TiO₂ nanoparticles dispersed in PET matrix acted as the nucleating agent in the PET crystallization process, which resulted in the formation of more imperfect microcrystals. At the same time, a greater number of crystal defects resulted in the decreased crystallinity of the fiber.

The UV-blocking mechanism of PET/nano-TiO₂ can be attributed to the electronic structure of nano-TiO₂, which can absorb light with an energy of $h\nu$, which matches or exceeds its band gap energy. The band gap energy of TiO₂ lies in the UV-ray region of the solar spectrum.¹⁵ Figure 5 shows the spectrograms of UVray transmitting through different fabrics. The UPF values were calculated and are listed in Table IV.

From Figure 5, it is apparent that the three fabrics did not differ considerably in UV-ray transmittance in the wavelength range of 200–280 nm (UV-C region). But in the UV-A and UV-B regions, that is, in the wavelength range of 280–400 nm, the UV-ray transmittance of the PET/nano-TiO₂ fabrics (curves b and c) decreased steeply in contrast to that in pure PET fabric. It can be seen from Table IV that the transmittance of pure PET fabric was only 3.4% in the UV-B region, that is, the pure PET fabric exhibited good UV-B protection. When a low TiO₂ content was added to PET, for example, 1 wt %, the transmittance of PET fabric in the UV-B region was decreased to 0.4%. It

TABLE III Crystallinity of PET Fiber with Different Nano-TiO₂ Contents

Crystallinity (%)		
65.12%		
61.74%		
63.00%		



a. pure PET



b. PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites (1 wt.-%)

Figure 4 Polarizing microscope images of crystallization of different samples.



Figure 5 Spectrograms of UV-ray transmitting through different fabrics: (a) pure PET, (b) 1 wt % nano-TiO₂, (c) 2 wt % nano-TiO₂.

TABLE IVThe Average Transmittance of UV-ray and UPF Valuesof Different Fabrics

Content of nano TiO	Average transmittance (%)			
(wt. %)	315–400 nm	280–315 nm	UPF	
0	34.9	3.4	12	
1	6.6	0.4	>50	
2	4.8	0.3	>50	

was noted that the transmittance of PET/nano-TiO₂ fabrics (1 wt %) in the UV-A region was sharply decreased from 34.9% to 6.6%, and its UPF value was above 50. According to AS/NZS 4399:1996, PET/ nano-TiO₂ fabrics with low TiO₂ content exhibited excellent UV radiation protection.

To compare the effect of nano- and micro-TiO₂ on the UV-blocking property of PET fabrics, the UV-ray transmittance of PET fabrics with the same total TiO₂ content (0.8 wt %) and different nano-TiO₂ contents was determined and is plotted in Figure 6, which suggests that two samples exhibited good UV-B protection. However, the UV-ray transmittance of PET fabric with 0.5 wt % nano-TiO₂ plus 0.3 wt % micro-TiO₂ in the UV-A region was lower than that of PET fabric with 0.3 wt % nano-TiO₂ plus 0.5 wt % micro-TiO₂. From this, it could be concluded that TiO₂ nanoparticles could improve the UV-blocking property of PET fabrics remarkably in comparison with micro-TiO₂, although some TiO₂ nanoparticles aggregated in the PET matrix.

CONCLUSIONS

PET/TiO₂ nanocomposites prepared by *in situ* polymerization exhibited good UV radiation protection



Figure 6 Spectrograms of UV-ray transmitting through different PET fabrics: (a) 0.3% nano-TiO₂ + 0.5% micro-TiO₂, (b) 0.5% nano-TiO₂ + 0.3% micro-TiO₂.

because of the high refractive index and absorption of UV light of rutile TiO_2 . In this study, the uniform dispersion of TiO_2 in the nanocomposites by this method was confirmed by TEM and SPM measurements. PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber was prepared using suitable spinning parameters. The tensile strength of PET fibers with low TiO₂ content decreased slightly in contrast to that of pure PET fiber; at the same time it had a lower elongation at break. The UV-blocking property of the PET/nano-TiO₂ fiber improved remarkably, even at a very low nanorutile content. Its UPF value could reach above 50.

References

- 1. Phillips, R. Sources and Applications of Ultraviolet Radiation; Academic Press: London, 1983.
- Hilfiker, R.; Kaufmann, W.; Reinert, G.; Sdhmidt, E. Text Res J 1996, 66(2), 61.

- 3. Xin, J. H.; Daoud, W. A.; Kong, Y. Y. Text Res J 2004, 74(2), 97.
- AS/NZS 4399, Sun Protective Clothing Evaluation and Classification. Published jointly by Standards Australian and Standards New Zealand, 1996.
- Murray, C. B.; Norris, D. J.; Bawendi, M. G. J Am Chem Soc 1993, 115, 8706.
- Reddy, M. K.; Manorama, V. S.; Reddy, R. A. Mater Chem Phys 2003, 78, 239.
- 7. Nussbaumer, J. R.; Caseri, R.; Smith, P.; Tervoort, T. Macromol Mater Eng 2003, 288, 44.
- 8. Zhang, Q.; Gao, L.; Guo, J. Appl Catal B 2000, 26, 207.
- 9. Juangvanich, N.; Mauritz, K. A. J Appl Polym Sci 1998, 67, 1799.
- McNally, T.; Murphy, R. W.; Lew, Y. C.; Turner, J. R.; Brennan, P. G. Polymer 2003, 44, 2761.
- 11. Lantelme, B.; Dumon, M.; Mai, C.; Pascault, J. P. J Non-Crystalline Solids 1996, 194(1), 63.
- 12. Li, Y.; Yu, J.; Guo, Z. Polym Int 2003, 52, 981.
- 13. Chang, J.; Kim, S. Polymer 2004, 45, 919.
- 14. Shen, L.; Du, Q.; Wang, H.; Zhong, W.; Yang, Y. Polym Int 2004, 53, 1153.
- 15. Zhang, Y.; Xiong, G.; Yao, N.; Yang, W.; Fu, X. Catal Today 2001, 68, 89.